# Basic Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| By providing this signed form and its supporting documentation, the Accredited VVB (acting as Validator) declares it has no financial interest related to the project activity, and that undertaking such validation does not constitute a conflict of interest that is incompatible with the role of a VVB (Validator) under the GCOM. | |
| Title of the project activity |  |
| The version number of the validation report |  |
| Completion date of the validation report |  |
| Version number of the PDD to which this report applies |  |
| Applied methodologies and standardized baselines |  |
| Sectoral scopes linked to the applied methodologies |  |
| Project mitigation outcome denominated in | ⬜ GHG metric ⬜ non-GHG metric |
| Estimated amount of annual average mitigation outcome achieved by the project activity |  |
| Unit used to estimate the mitigation outcome achieved by the project activity |  |
| Name of accredited GCOM VVB acting as Validator/Certificate No. |  |
| Validity of GCOM accreditation of VVB | DD/MM/YYYY to DD/MM/YYYY |
| Approved GCOM Scope for VVB acting as Project Validator |  |
| VVB/Validator’s Conclusion |  |
| Name, position, and signature of the VVB approver of the validation report |  |

# Section A: executive summary of the report

|  |
| --- |
| A.1. Executive Summary of The Report |
|  |

# Section B: validation team, technical reviewer and approver

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| B.1. Validation team member(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| # | Role | Type of resources | Name | Desk/ document review | On-site inspection | | Interview | | Validation findings |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |  |
| B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the Validation report. | | | | | | | | | |
| # | Role | | Type of resources | | | Name | | Entity | |
|  |  | |  | | |  | |  | |
|  |  | |  | | |  | |  | |
|  |  | |  | | |  | |  | |
|  |  | |  | | |  | |  | |
|  |  | |  | | |  | |  | |

# Section C: means of validation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| C.1. Desk/document review | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |
| C.2. On-site inspection | | | | | | | | | | |
| # | Activity performed on-site | | Site location | | | Date | | Team member | | |
|  |  | |  | | |  | |  | | |
|  |  | |  | | |  | |  | | |
| C.3. Interviews | | | | | | | | | | |
| # | Name | Entity | | | Date | | | Subject | | Team member |
|  |  |  | | |  | | |  | |  |
|  |  |  | | |  | | |  | |  |
| C.4. Sampling Approach | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |
| C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Areas of validation findings | | | | No. of CL | | | No. of CAR | | No. of FAR | |
| Identification of project type | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Description of project activity, location, technologies, fundings, and status | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Application and selection of methodologies and standardized baselines | | | | | | | | | | |
| Application of methodologies and standardized baselines | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Clarification on applicability of methodology, tool and/or standardized baseline | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Demonstration of ‘below business as usual’ in selected methodologies | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Project boundary: sources and metrics | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Baseline scenario | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Demonstration of additionality | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Estimation of mitigation outcome | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Start date, crediting period type, and duration | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Environmental impacts | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Local stakeholder consultation | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Demonstration of contributions to SDGs | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Others (please specify) | | | |  | | |  | |  | |
| Total | | | |  | | |  | |  | |

# Section D: validation findings

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| D.1. Identification of project type | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.2. Description of project activity, location, technologies, fundings, and status | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.3. Application and selection of methodologies and standardized baselines | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.5. Clarification on applicability of methodology, tool, and/or standardized baseline | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.6. Demonstration of ‘below business as usual’ in selected methodologies | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.7. Project boundary: sources and metrics | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.8. Baseline scenario | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.9. Demonstration of additionality | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.10. Estimation of mitigation outcome | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.11. Monitoring plan | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.12. Start date, crediting period type, and duration | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.13. Local stakeholder consultation | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.14. Environmental impacts | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.15. Demonstration of contributions to SDGs | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |
| D.16. Project Proponent Owner- Identification and communication | |
| Means of validation |  |
| Findings |  |
| Conclusion |  |

# Section E: internal quality control

|  |
| --- |
| Demonstration of internal quality control |
|  |

# Section F: validation opinion

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Section G: appendices

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| APPENDIX 1. Abbreviations | | | | | | | | | |
| Abbreviations | | Full text | | | | | | | |
|  | |  | | | | | | | |
|  | |  | | | | | | | |
|  | |  | | | | | | | |
|  | |  | | | | | | | |
|  | |  | | | | | | | |
| APPENDIX 2. competence of team members and technical reviewers | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| APPENDIX 3. documents reviewed or referenced | | | | | | | | | |
| # | Author | | | Title | | References to the document | | Provider | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
|  |  | | |  | |  | |  | |
| APPENDIX 4. Clarification request, corrective action request and forward action request | | | | | | | | | |
| # | CLs / CARs / FARs ID | | Description | | Project Proponent Response | | Documents provided by Project Proponent | | VVB’s assessment (Acting as Validator) |
|  |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |
|  |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |
|  |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |
|  |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |
|  |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |
|  |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |